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I. INTRODUCTION

This Article provides an overview of racial and ethnic disparities in health status in the United States and describes the complex ways in which race, ethnicity and socioeconomic status (SES) combine to affect the distribution of disease. It outlines the multiple factors that contribute to racial differences in health status at "equivalent" levels of SES and reviews the evidence of inequalities in health care access and quality and examines their potential contribution to disparities in health status.

II. RACE, ETHNICITY AND HEALTH STATUS

In 1999, the Kaiser Family Foundation conducted a national survey on public awareness of the extent of racial disparities in health care in the United States. The study found that most Americans were unaware that racial disparities in health existed. The first row of Table 1 shows relatively low levels of public awareness that African-Americans (or Blacks) have higher infant mortality rates than whites. Official health statistics reveal that the infant mortality rate in 1999 was almost 2.5 times higher for blacks compared to whites. Moreover, these differences in infant death rates have existed for a long time. In 1950, a Black infant was about 1.6 times more likely to die before his or her first birthday than a white infant. Infant death rates in 1999 were approximately three times lower for blacks and four times lower for
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2. Id. at 7.
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### Table 2

**Age-Specific Death Rates for Whites and Minority/White Rates for 1999–2000**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>White (W) Rate</th>
<th>Black/W Ratio</th>
<th>Amlnd/W Ratio</th>
<th>API/W Ratio</th>
<th>Hispanic/W Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-14</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-84</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>158.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Higher illness rates across multiple health outcomes underlie the elevated mortality for Blacks and American Indians. African-Americans have higher death rates than whites for most of the fifteen leading causes of death. American Indians have higher death rates for diabetes, liver cirrhosis, accidents, and suicide, but lower rates than whites for heart disease, cancer, and stroke.

Table 2 reveals that Asian and Pacific Islanders have lower death rates than whites at all ages across the life course. Hispanics have death rates that
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are equivalent to those of whites in early life, become slightly larger in early
alcohol, but then remain lower than those of whites throughout the rest of
the life span. Both Hispanics and Asians have markedly lower death rates than
whites for the three leading causes of death—heart disease, cancer, and
stroke—that are responsible for some sixty percent of all deaths in the United
States.15 A pattern of equivalent or lower death rates than whites exists for
Asians for each of the fifteen leading causes of death in the United States.16 In
contrast, Hispanics have higher death rates than whites for diabetes, liver
cirrhosis, and homicide.17

Relatively high proportions of both the Asian and Hispanic population are
foreign-born, and the health effects of migration play an important role in these
overall health patterns. Immigrants of all major racial and ethnic populations
enjoy lower rates of infant mortality and adult mortality than their native-born
counterparts.18 Moreover, research shows that with length of stay in the
United States, the overall health advantage of Hispanics disappears, and the
health profile of Hispanics converges to that of the white population.19 This
research documents that poor health practices among Hispanics increase with
the length of stay in the United States. An earlier body of research on
Japanese-Americans found a similar pattern of the increased risk for
cardiovascular disease correlated with acculturation.20

It is likely, though, that the trajectory for these two groups in terms of both
socioeconomic status and health may be very different. Immigrants from
Asian countries and Hispanic societies differ markedly in terms of their level
of SES. For example, sixty-five percent of immigrants from India, forty-three
percent from the Philippines, and thirty-five percent from Japan are college
graduates, compared with four percent of those from Mexico, five percent from
El Salvador, and six percent of those from Guatemala.21 Both groups continue
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to experience discrimination, but compared to Asians, Hispanics experience higher levels of segregation, are viewed more negatively by whites, and face greater challenges with educational and occupational mobility. At the same time, each group contains considerable heterogeneity. Some Asian immigrant groups such as the Hmong, Laotians, and Cambodians have low levels of educational attainment. Also, for example, one Hispanic group, Cuban immigrants, is three times as likely as their Mexican counterparts to be college graduates.

Several factors should be considered to put this data into perspective. First, American Indians who live on or near reservations (sixty percent of the total population) have poorer health outcomes than the overall national profile of their group. Second, data quality problems affect the accuracy of the national mortality data. The misreporting of racial and ethnic status on death certificates (many are misclassified as non-Hispanic white) understates overall mortality rates for American Indians, Asian and Pacific Islanders, and Hispanics. Third, all of the major groups are characterized by considerable heterogeneity that predicts considerable variation in both SES and health status. Finally, the grouping of Pacific Islanders with the Asian population in most U.S. data obscures some of the serious socioeconomic and health challenges faced by this group. The current federal guidelines for measuring race and ethnicity have a new category for Native Hawaiians and other Pacific
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Islanders. The utilization of this new category can highlight more clearly some of the serious challenges faced by this group—a group whose overall health profile is more similar to that of American Indians and African-Americans than it is to that of Hispanics or Asian-Americans.

III. UNDERSTANDING RACIAL DISPARITIES IN HEALTH

Early explanations of racial disparities in health in the United States focused on the biological differences between Blacks and whites and saw any racial difference in health as a consequence of racial variations in biological characteristics. Scientific data clearly indicate that although there is genetic variation within human populations, our racial categories do not capture them well. Racial categories were developed in the absence of genetic evidence and before modern theories of genetics. Being a member of any of the socially defined racial groups is not a reliable or unambiguous indicator of the possession of particular genetic characteristics. There are variations in human population groups in the frequency of particular genetic characteristics, some of which may be health-related; however, most genetic variation exists within the major racial categories, with only a small percentage of variation that can be assigned to differences between groups.

The American Association of Physical Anthropologists recently concluded that "[p]ure races, in the sense of genetically homogenous populations, do not exist in the human species today, nor is there any evidence that they have ever existed in the past." In light of such evidence, some have suggested that race should no longer be studied in relationship to health. Recently, an American
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Sociological Association (ASA) statement on race argued that although race may not be a meaningful concept in terms of identifying biological characteristics, it is socially consequential in a broad range of domains, including health, and it is thus critical to continue to assess race and its consequences, both to inform policymaking and to achieve greater justice in society. At the same time, there is growing recognition among health researchers that understanding the determinants of health will require comprehensive characterization of genetic and biological characteristics as well as environmental factors and the complex interactions among them.

In the United States, race is consequential in determining residential patterns, as well as educational and employment opportunities. Thus, race is a strong predictor of variations in socioeconomic circumstances. The data in Table I indicate that more Americans are aware of racial differences in SES than in health. The majority of African-Americans are aware of racial differences in education, income, and housing, but a clear majority of whites perceive racial inequality only in the area of income. National data, however, reveal that compared to whites, African-Americans, Latinos, and American Indians have lower levels of not only income, but also education, occupational status, and wealth, and higher rates of poverty and unemployment. These socioeconomic indicators are some of the strongest known determinants of variations in health, and SES accounts for a large part of the poorer health status of historically disadvantaged racial groups.
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IV. RACE, SES AND HEALTH

Table 3 provides national data on the complex relationship between race, SES, and health. The first row shows that at age twenty-five, white men and women have a life expectancy that exceeds that of their Black counterparts by more than four years. However, for both men and women, Blacks and whites, as education increases, life expectancy also increases. African-American men who have completed thirteen or more years of education live 6.7 years longer than those who have not graduated from high school. The comparable number for whites is 5.4 years. Thus, the differences within each racial group by even this crude measure of educational variation are larger than the Black–white difference. A similar pattern is evident for women, although the SES differences are smaller, especially among white women. At age twenty-five, Black women in the highest educational category have a life expectancy that is 5.9 years longer than those in the lowest educational group. Among white women, the educational difference is only 2.8 years between women in the highest educational category and the lowest. Other data reveal that because white women are much more likely to be married than Black women, the racial differences in education among women dramatically understate racial differences in household income.48

Table 349

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th></th>
<th>Females</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12 yrs.</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>55.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 yrs.</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13+ yrs.</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>57.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES Difference</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 also indicates that although racial differences in health status usually decrease when Blacks and whites are compared at similar levels of SES, there remains an independent effect of race on health status. This striking persistence of an effect of race independent of SES is evident in other health data. For both men and women, African-Americans have lower levels of life expectancy than their white counterparts at every level of education. For example, white men who have not completed high school have a life expectancy that is 3.7 years longer than similarly educated Black men. Among women, the racial difference in life expectancy at the lowest educational level is almost five years. Among the most educated, the racial difference is 2.4 years for men and 1.8 years for women.

Several factors, singly and in combination, may contribute to this persistence of an effect of race. First, all of the routinely used indicators of SES are not equivalent across racial and ethnic categories. There are large racial differences in the quality of elementary and high school education, so that Black high school graduates bring fewer basic skills to the labor market than their white counterparts. In addition, at every level of education whites have a higher median income than Blacks and Hispanics. These disparities are larger among males than among females. Moreover, even after taking differences in test scores into account, African-Americans still earn less than their white peers.

Thus, the equalization of levels of education would still leave a large racial gap in earned income. “Middle class blacks are also more likely than their white peers to be recent and tenuous in that class status. College-educated blacks, for example, are . . . more likely than their white peers to experience unemployment,” As research has indicated:
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[T]he purchasing power of a given level of income [also] varies by race, with blacks paying higher prices than whites for a broad range of goods and services in society, including food and housing. African Americans also have higher rates of unemployment and underemployment than do whites. Moreover, employed blacks are more likely than their white peers to be exposed to occupational hazards and carcinogens, even after adjusting for job experience and education.\textsuperscript{57}

A dramatic example of the nonequivalence of SES indicators is the large racial difference in wealth at all income levels. Racial differences in the inheritance of wealth and intergenerational transfers of wealth have contributed to the large racial differences in wealth shown in Table 4.\textsuperscript{58} The chart shows that the average white family has about seven times as much wealth as the average Black and Hispanic family. Instructively, these racial differences in wealth are evident at every level of income, with both Black and Hispanic households having markedly lower levels of wealth than white households. Among persons at the lowest quintile of income, white households have six to seven times as much wealth as their Black and Latino counterparts. In the highest income quintile, white households have fifty percent more wealth than Hispanic ones and three times as much wealth as African-American ones.


\textsuperscript{58} \textit{Id.} at 365. See also Melvin L. Oliver & Thomas M. Shapiro, \textit{Black Wealth/White Wealth: A New Perspective on Racial Inequality} 155-56 (1997).
Table 459

Median Net Worth by Race and Household Income, 1995

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Income</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$49,030</td>
<td>$7,073</td>
<td>$7,255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest Quintile</td>
<td>$9,720</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Quintile</td>
<td>$26,534</td>
<td>$3,998</td>
<td>$3,898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quintile</td>
<td>$42,123</td>
<td>$11,623</td>
<td>$10,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Quintile</td>
<td>$57,445</td>
<td>$27,275</td>
<td>$19,424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Quintile</td>
<td>$123,781</td>
<td>$40,866</td>
<td>$80,416</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A second reason for the persistence of racial differences in health after SES is accounted for is the potential contribution of early life exposure to adversity. In the larger literature on social factors and health, there is growing evidence that the health of adults is affected not only by current social conditions but also by exposure to social and economic adversity over the life course.60 Thus, exposure to childhood social and economic adversity may contribute to health status disparities later in life.

Third, limited but growing scientific evidence suggests that experiences of discrimination constitute one type of stressful life experience that may adversely affect the health of socially disadvantaged groups. This rapidly growing literature indicates that experiences of racial discrimination are
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SMITH ed., 2003.)
adversely related to multiple indicators of physical and mental health.\textsuperscript{61} Moreover, some studies have documented that experiences of racial discrimination make an incremental contribution to the racial gap in health after indicators of SES are controlled.\textsuperscript{62}

Finally, racial differences in neighborhood living conditions and their consequences may make an important contribution to the racial gap in health in general and to racial differences in homicide and violent crime rates in particular.\textsuperscript{63} Thus, the social and physical risks linked to neighborhood conditions may also adversely affect health and contribute to racial disparities in health on a larger scale in addition to the risk factors connected to individuals and households. Differential exposure to risks in the physical environment may also contribute to racial differences in health. The quality of housing is generally poorer in highly segregated areas, especially those inhabited by ethnic minorities.\textsuperscript{64} Crowding, sub-standard housing, elevated noise levels, decreased ability to regulate temperature and humidity, as well as elevated exposure to noxious pollutants and allergens (including lead, smog, particulates, and dust mites) are all common in poor, segregated communities.\textsuperscript{65} Research indicates that residing near hazardous waste sites,\textsuperscript{66} residential exposure to air pollution,\textsuperscript{67} and deteriorated housing conditions\textsuperscript{68}
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\textsuperscript{67} See, e.g., Gerald J. Keeler et al., \textit{Assessment of Personal and Community-Level Exposures to Particulate Matter among Children with Asthma in Detroit, Michigan, as Part of Community Action Against Asthma (CAA)}, Envtl. Health Persp., Supp. 2, April 2002, at
are related to increased respiratory and other health problems in both adults and children. Thus, differential exposure to risk in the social and physical environment because of SES may also contribute to racial differences in health.

Research on homicide provides an illustration of the differences that can result. One of the largest discrepancies in the health of ethnic populations is reflected in the black–white difference in homicide. The homicide death rate for African-Americans is markedly higher than that of whites and persists at every level of SES. Moreover, the overall homicide rate of African-Americans has remained stable at a high level for a long time. For example, the age-adjusted Black homicide death rate was 30.5 per 100,000 in 1950 and 30.6 in 1996. Sampson shows that the lack of educational and employment opportunity in poor, predominantly Black areas produces high rates of male unemployment and underemployment. These, in turn, lead to out-of-wedlock births, female-headed households and the extreme concentration of poverty. Sampson explains that single-parent households provide youth lower levels of social control and guardianship than two-parent households. Sampson found a strong association between family structure and violent crime, with the magnitude of the relationship being virtually identical for whites and Blacks. Thus, racial differences at the neighborhood level concerning the availability of jobs, family structure, opportunities for marriage, and concentrated poverty undergird racial differences in crime and homicide and contribute to overall health disparities. These racial differences are not trivial. In the nation’s 171 largest metropolises, the worst urban context in which whites reside is markedly better than the average context of Black neighborhoods.
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V. RACIAL AND ETHNIC DIFFERENCES IN ACCESS AND QUALITY OF MEDICAL CARE

In the face of striking data on racial inequalities in health, many individuals look to improved medical care as the solution; however, interventions in health care alone are unlikely to either eliminate social inequalities in health status or create optimal levels of population health. A report released by the United States Surgeon General in 1979 indicated that medical care explained only approximately ten percent of the variation in adult mortality. This observation has led to the widely held view that medical care makes a limited contribution to population differences in health status. Medical care likely has a greater impact on the health status of vulnerable populations, such as racial and ethnic minorities and low SES groups, rather than on the population in general. Healthcare may be an especially important health-protective resource for people or groups with multiple vulnerabilities, and the impact of medical care may also vary by the characteristics of that care. Considerable evidence indicates that greater access to more continuous preventive care and timely as well as appropriate secondary and tertiary care from concerned providers can reduce disparities in care and lead to improvements in health status. Access to medical care and the receipt of high quality medical care, however, remain a significant challenge for many minority group members.

Many racial and ethnic minority populations face challenges in accessing medical care in the United States. Compared to whites, African-Americans, Latinos and some Asian populations have lower levels of insurance coverage, with Hispanics having greater barriers to health insurance than all other groups.


78. Adler et al., supra note 46, at 3141-42.


in the United States.\textsuperscript{81} Table 5 presents the racial and ethnic variation in health insurance coverage among the non-elderly adult population.\textsuperscript{82} There is considerable heterogeneity within the Hispanic and Asian-American groups. For instance, uninsured rates are much higher for Mexicans and Central-Americans than for Puerto Ricans. Among Asian-Americans, Chinese, Japanese, Filipino, and Indian individuals, uninsured rates are comparable or lower than those of whites, while Koreans and Vietnamese have even lower levels of insurance coverage than Blacks.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Health Insurance Coverage for U.S. Adults Aged 18–64, 2001}
\begin{tabular}{lcc}
\hline
Population & Uninsured Now & Uninsured Past Year \\
\hline
1. Total U.S. & 16 & 24 \\
2. White & 12 & 20 \\
3. Black & 22 & 30 \\
4. Hispanic & 35 & 46 \\
4a. Mexican & 39 & 49 \\
4b. Central-American & 47 & 55 \\
4c. Puerto Rican & 16 & 35 \\
5. Asian-American & 14 & 21 \\
5a. Chinese & 12 & 16 \\
5b. Korean & 52 & 55 \\
5c. Vietnamese & 32 & 37 \\
5d. Filipino & 6 & 15 \\
5e. Indian & 13 & 18 \\
5f. Japanese & 1 & 4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{81} Comm. on Understanding and Eliminating Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care, Institute of Medicine, Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care 13-87 (Brian D. Smedley et al. eds., 2003) [hereinafter Unequal Treatment].

\textsuperscript{82} Karen Scott Collins et al., Diverse Communities, Common Concerns: Assessing Health Care Quality for Minority Americans 57 (2002).

\textsuperscript{83} Karen Scott Collins et al., Quality of Care for African Americans: Findings from the Commonwealth Fund 2001 Health Care Quality Survey (2002); Dora L. Hughes, Quality of Care for Asian Americans: Findings from the Commonwealth Fund 2001 Health Care Quality Survey (2002); Michelle M. Doty & Brett L. Ives, Quality of Care for Hispanic Populations: Findings from the Commonwealth Fund 2001 Health Care Quality Survey (2002).
Blacks and Hispanics are less likely than whites to have direct private employer-based insurance coverage or to have insurance coverage indirectly through a spouse’s employment, but they are more likely than whites to have public insurance coverage. These groups are also more likely than whites to receive care in non-optimal organizational settings (such as the emergency room) and to lack continuity in the health care received. Thus, although many minorities have a greater need for medical care because of higher levels of morbidity, they are more likely than whites to face multiple barriers in accessing health care services. Analyses of racial and ethnic differences in access to and the use of health services between 1977 and 1996 has revealed that the Black/white gap has not narrowed over time, and the gap between Hispanics and whites has widened. Moreover, this study found that even if income and health insurance coverage were equalized, racial and ethnic differences in having a usual source of care and in receiving ambulatory care in the past year would not be eliminated because one-half to three-quarters of the disparities on these indicators are not accounted for by such factors.

In addition to racial and ethnic differences in access to care, there is also considerable evidence documenting systematic racial and ethnic differences in the receipt of a broad spectrum of therapeutic interventions. A recent Institute of Medicine (IOM) report, titled Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Healthcare, documents the large racial and ethnic differences in the quality and intensity of medical care in the United States. For a diverse range of therapeutic procedures, varying from high-technology interventions to basic diagnostic and treatment measures, Blacks and other minorities are less likely to receive these medical procedures and more likely to experience poor quality medical care than whites. This pattern of differences is robust even in studies that adjust for differences in health insurance, SES, stage and severity of disease, comorbidity, and the type of medical facility.

85. Blendon et al., supra note 84, at 280.
87. Id. at 49.
89. Unequal Treatment, supra note 81, at 39.
90. Id. at 38-74.
91. See id. at 42.
Strikingly, racial and ethnic disparities in care persist in contexts where differences in insurance factors are minimized. Research reveals that there are systematic racial differences in the kind and quality of medical care received among Medicare beneficiaries. In an analysis of racial differences in the rates of procedures performed by hospitals for Medicare beneficiaries in 1992, McBean and Gornick found that Black Medicare beneficiaries were less likely than their white counterparts to receive seventeen of the most commonly performed procedures. The differences appeared to be largest for referral-sensitive procedures. Further examination of the Medicare files revealed that Black beneficiaries of Medicare received only four non-elective procedures more frequently than their white counterparts. All of these procedures, such as the amputation of a lower limb and the removal of both testes, reflected delayed diagnosis and/or initial treatment or failure in the management of chronic disease. Additionally, a greater percentage of Black Medicare beneficiaries make out-of-pocket payments for deductibles and copayments for ambulatory care than do whites. This higher financial cost could lead to lower utilization of ambulatory medical care and the postponement and avoidance of treatment.

Similarly, in the Veterans Health Administration (VHA) system, where differences in insurance coverage are also minimized, racial disparities have been documented in the treatment of heart disease, gallbladder disease and

93. McBean & Gornick, supra note 92, at 85.
94. Id. at 77.
95. Id. at 84.
96. Id. at 84-85.
97. Id. at 85-86.
98. McBean & Gornick, supra note 92, at 85.
99. E.g., Eugene Z. Oddone et al., Race, Presenting Signs and Symptoms. Use of Carotid Artery Imaging and Appropriateness of Carotid Endarterectomy, 30 STROKE 1350, 1352-54 (1999); Eric D. Peterson et al., Racial Variation in Cardiac Procedure Use and Survival Following Acute Myocardial Infarction in the Department of Veterans Affairs, 271 JAMA 1175, 1176-78 (1994); Steven P. Sedlis et al., Racial Differences in Performance of Invasive Cardiac Procedures in a Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, 50 J. CLINICAL EPIDEMIOLOGY 899, 900 (1997); Jeff Whittle et al., Racial Differences in the Use of Invasive Cardiovascular Procedures in the Department of Veterans Affairs Medical System, 329 NEW ENG. J. MED. 621, 622-23 (1993).
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mental health. At the same time, no racial differences were found in the VHA system for the treatment of colorectal cancer. In addition, no racial differences were found in the treatment of prostate cancer in the Department of Defense medical facilities or in the treatment of cervical cancer in the military health system. A study of the treatment of acute myocardial infarction in the military health system found no racial differences in catheterization and revascularization procedures, but whites were more likely than non-whites to be considered for future catheterization. At the present time, we do not know the extent to which the financial, structural, and institutional aspects of healthcare systems can facilitate or minimize variations in racial and ethnic disparities in care.

There are large geographic variations in care in the United States. These patterns may contribute to the racial and ethnic differences in health care quality; however, such considerations do not account for all of the observed differences in quality. Several studies have found racial and ethnic disparities in care in a single facility, a single geographic location and, in the case of cardiovascular disease, even after a broad range of hospital characteristics are considered. However, this and other research suggests that geographic location does matter. For example, one study of inadequate pain management found that all patients treated in settings in which the patient population was primarily Black or Hispanic were more likely to receive inadequate analgesia than those treated in settings where the patient population was primarily
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white. Nonetheless, this study found that minority patients were more likely than white patients to be undertreated for pain and more likely to have the severity of their pain underestimated by physicians.

Geographic disparities may reflect both the particular institutions where minorities access care and the type and quality of their healthcare providers. Prior research indicates that regardless of insurance coverage, African-Americans and Hispanics are "almost twice as likely as whites to receive care from a hospital-based provider." Moreover, Blacks and Latinos are almost 1.6 times more likely than whites to be treated at safety net urban hospitals. Some evidence also suggests that non-white patients are more likely than their white counterparts to be treated by lower quality physicians.

It is generally recognized that multiple factors contribute to the observed disparities in health care. There are numerous explanations offered for racial and ethnic disparities in medical care including: the geographic maldistribution of health resources, policies and procedures of larger health systems, provider behavior towards patients, racial differences in patient preferences, human physiology, SES, insurance coverage, place of treatment and finally trust, knowledge and prior experience with medical procedures. The recent IOM report, however, concluded that discrimination on the part of providers based on the patient's race and ethnicity contributes to racial and ethnic disparities in care. The IOM report also indicates that it is likely that this bias is unconscious or unthinking discrimination based on negative stereotypes. Research on stereotypes indicates that when an individual who holds a negative stereotype about a group meets someone who fits the stereotype, he or she will discriminate against that individual. Strikingly, stereotype-linked bias is both an automatic process and an unconscious one, even among persons who are not prejudiced.

Several lines of evidence suggest that discrimination based on negative stereotypes of minorities is likely to play a role in encounters between patients and providers in the United States. First, healthcare providers are a part of the
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larger society that views racial and ethnic minorities negatively on multiple social dimensions. For example, national data reveal that whites view Blacks, Hispanics, and Asians more negatively than they view themselves, with perceptions of Blacks being the most unfavorable and Hispanics being viewed twice as negatively as Asians.\textsuperscript{119} Also, 44% of whites believe that Blacks are lazy, 51% believe that Blacks are prone to violence, and 56% believe that Blacks prefer to live on welfare.\textsuperscript{120} Comparatively, whites view only 5% of whites as lazy, 16% as prone to violence, and 4% as preferring to live on welfare.\textsuperscript{121}

Second, research on stereotypes indicates that encounters in the healthcare setting contain ingredients that enhance the likelihood of the use of stereotypes. Stereotypes are more likely to be activated under conditions of time pressure, the need to make quick judgments, cognitive overload, task complexity and when the emotions of anger or anxiety are present.\textsuperscript{122} The typical health care experience is often characterized by time pressure, brief encounters, and the need to manage complex cognitive tasks, providing a unique environment that supports stereotyping.

Third, the few studies that have examined how physicians perceive their patients indicate that Black patients are viewed more negatively than their white counterparts.\textsuperscript{123} For example, van Ryn and Burke found that even after adjustment for patient age, sex, SES, sickness, frailty, overall health status, patient availability of social support and education level, physicians viewed Black patients (compared to their white counterparts) as less likely to adhere to medical advice, less likely to be kind, intelligent and educated, more likely to lack social support, and more likely to abuse alcohol and drugs.\textsuperscript{124} Moreover,
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African-American patients were significantly less likely than whites to be perceived as the kind of person with whom the physician could see him or herself being friends.\textsuperscript{125} In an experimental design, Abreu found that health professionals “primed” with negative stereotypes about African-Americans were more likely to view the same hypothetical patient negatively than therapists primed with neutral words.\textsuperscript{126} Other experimental studies of physicians\textsuperscript{127} and medical students\textsuperscript{128} provide further evidence that the manipulation of demographic variables such as race can lead to variations in provider perceptions.

VI. CONCLUSION

This Article has documented the phenomenon that although there are large and persistent racial and ethnic inequalities in health status, access to health care, and the quality of health care, the majority of adults in the United States are unaware that these disparities exist. Knowledge of the extent of these disparities and their causes is a prerequisite for effective action. Intervention efforts can begin by ensuring every American full access to high quality medical care, but because racial and ethnic inequalities in health status reflect large inequalities in society, the other underlying determinants of health must also be tackled.

Analyses of economic and health data during the last fifty years reveal that the narrowing of the Black–white gap in economic status was associated with a parallel narrowing of the Black–white gap in health. Similarly, a widening of the racial gap in SES has been associated with a widening gap in health status.\textsuperscript{129} Specifically, between the late 1960s and the mid-1970s, as a result of the gains of the Civil Rights Movement, there was some narrowing of the Black–white gap in income\textsuperscript{130} and a corresponding narrowing of the racial gap.
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in health status.\textsuperscript{131} Between 1968 and 1975, Black men and women experienced a larger decline in mortality across multiple causes of death, both on a percentage and an absolute basis, than their white counterparts.\textsuperscript{132} Life expectancy data during this period also showed larger gains for Blacks than whites on both a relative and an absolute basis.\textsuperscript{133} During the early 1980s, on the other hand, the health status of economically vulnerable populations worsened in several states in the wake of substantial changes in social and economic policies at the national level.\textsuperscript{134} Similarly, the Black–white gap in health status widened between 1980 and 1991 for multiple health outcomes, including life expectancy, excess deaths, and infant mortality.\textsuperscript{135}

Good health is a desirable resource. It is a prerequisite for the full realization of all of the fundamental rights and privileges that are central to the American dream. However, the evidence reviewed indicates that health is unevenly distributed by race and ethnicity across the population of the United States. Moreover, the cause of racial and ethnic disparities in health is not obscure or unknown. Instead, racial differences in health are driven by racial and ethnic inequalities across a broad range of societal sectors. There is a need for a major redefinition of health policy to include all societal policies that have health consequences. Equally important, there is a need for a new national commitment to eliminate inequalities by race and ethnicity that must be supported by a broad-based action agenda that involves the cooperation and coordination of multiple sectors of society and multiple departments of national, state, and local government. That is, eliminating racial and ethnic inequalities in health status will require a major new effort to address the fundamental non-medical determinants of health by improving the quality of a broad range of living conditions for vulnerable populations.\textsuperscript{136}
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